# Cardinality

### Jang-Ge

May 21, 2018

To consider the size of a set, the most important thing is about functions. If  $f : A \to B$  is a function, the following properties are elementary:

**1** (One-to-one). Supposely that whenever f(x) = f(y), it follows that x = y, then the function f is called one-to-one from A to B.

**2** (Onto). Supposely that for each  $b \in B$ , there is an  $a \in A$  such that f(a) = b, then this function f is called from A onto B.

**3.** An Injection (injective function) is an one-to-one function; a surjection (surjective function) is an onto function; a bijection (bijective function) is an injective and surjective function.

4 (Equinumerosity). The sets A, B are called equinumerous (of the same cardinality), denoted by  $A \approx B$ , if there is a bijection from A to B;  $A \preceq B$  (of (weakly) less cardinality than) if there is an injection from A to B.  $A \prec B$  (of strickly less cardinality than) if  $A \preceq B$  but  $A \not\approx B$ . Sometimes, equinumerous is replaced by equipotent.

## 1 Bijections

Now I think it is necessary to verify that if those elementary functions are one-to-one and onto between each pair of given sets.

5.  $f : \mathbb{R} \to \mathbb{R}$  with  $f(x) = \pi x$  is one-to-one and onto because,

- (i) For  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ , if f(x) = f(y), i.e.  $\pi x = \pi y$ , then x = y immediately.
- (ii) For  $y_0 \in \mathbb{R}$ , choose  $x = \frac{y_0}{\pi}$ . Then  $f(x) = \pi \cdot \frac{y_0}{\pi} = y_0$ .

**6.**  $g: \mathbb{R}^+ \to \mathbb{R}^+, x \to x^2$  is a bijective.

- (i) For  $a, b \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , if  $a^2 = b^2$  then (a b)(a + b) = 0. Because  $a + b \neq 0$ , we obtain a b = 0, *i.e.* a = b.
- (ii) For  $b_0 \in \mathbb{R}^+$ , we choose  $a = \sqrt{b_0}$ . Then  $g(a) = (\sqrt{b_0})^2 = b_0$ .

Hence, g is bijective.

7. The function  $u(x) = x^3$  in  $\mathbb{R}$  is one-to-one and onto.

*Proof.* For  $y \in \mathbb{R}$ , choose  $x = \sqrt[3]{y}$ . This implies that u is onto. If  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $x^3 = y^3$ . Then consider

$$0 = x^{3} - y^{3} = (x - y)(x^{2} + xy + y^{2})$$
$$= (x - y)\left((x + \frac{1}{2}y)^{2} + \frac{3}{4}y^{2}\right)$$

If  $(x - y)\left((x + \frac{1}{2}y)^2 + \frac{3}{4}y^2\right) = 0$ , then x = y. (= 0). If  $(x - y)\left((x + \frac{1}{2}y)^2 + \frac{3}{4}y^2\right) \neq 0$ , then x - y = 0. Hence u is one-to-one.

Another way to show "one-to-one" is that we have several cases according as x>0, x = 0, or x<0 and, y>0, y = 0, or y<0. For example, if x, y both >0 (<0) then  $x^2 + xy + y^2>0$ . Hence  $x^3 - y^3 = 0$  implies x = y.

This division inspires us a helpful property.

- 8. If  $A \cap B = C \cap D = \emptyset$ , and  $f : A \cup B \to C \cup D$  is
  - (i) one-to-one from A onto C and
  - (ii) one-to-one from B onto D,
- then f is a bijection.

This is a trivial statement, so we omit the proof.

Still another example is a trigonometric function.

**9.** Show that  $G(x) = \sin x$ , where  $x \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$  is one-to-one from  $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$  to (-1, 1).

Proof.

(one-to-one) If  $x, y \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$  such that G(x) = G(y). Then

$$0 = \sin x - \sin y = 2\cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right)\sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right).$$

Since  $\frac{x+y}{2} \in \left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$ ,  $\cos\left(\frac{x+y}{2}\right) \neq 0$ , it follows that

$$\sin\left(\frac{x-y}{2}\right) = 0.$$

Hence x = y.

(onto) Let  $K \in (-1, 1)$ . Since

(i)  $G(x) = \sin x$  is continuous in  $[-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}]$ . (ii)  $\sin(-\frac{\pi}{2}) = -1$ ,  $\sin(\frac{\pi}{2}) = 1$ . (iii) -1 < K < 1. Intermediate Value Theorem hence tell us that there is a  $c \in (-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2})$  such that

 $G(c) = \sin c = K.$ 

**Exercise 1.** Check  $\tan x$  is a bijection from  $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right) \to \mathbb{R}$ .

### 2 Some Properties and Number Systems

Next, our purpose is to show that  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Z} \approx \mathbb{Q} \prec \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{C}$ .

### 2.1 $\mathbb{N}$ and $\mathbb{Z}$

#### 10. $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Z}$

*Proof.* We ought to find a bijection. Let

$$f(n) = \begin{cases} \frac{n}{2}, & \text{if } n \text{ is even;} \\ -\frac{n-1}{2}, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(1)

Then it is routine to show bijectivity.

For even natural numbers x, y, if f(x) = f(y), i.e.  $\frac{x}{2} = \frac{y}{2}$ , then x = y. Next, for  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ , p > 0, we find that  $f(2p) = \frac{2p}{2} = p$ ; For odd natural numbers x, y, if f(x) = f(y), i.e.  $-\frac{x-1}{2} = -\frac{y-1}{2}$ , then x = y. Similarly, if  $p \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $p \leq 0$ , then  $f(-2p+1) = -\frac{(-2p+1)-1}{2} = p$ . By previous example, f is bijective.

#### **2.2** $\mathbb{N}$ and Fractions

For the goal of the fact that  $\mathbb{Z} \approx \mathbb{Q}$ , we need quite a few effort. Firstly, we embed a significant property into our discussion.

**11.** Let  $\Lambda$  be an index set. Given  $f : \sqcup_{j \in \Lambda} A_j \to \sqcup_{j \in \Lambda} C_j$ , if for any  $j \in \Lambda$ , f is one-to-one from  $A_j$  onto  $C_j$ , then f is one-to-one and onto.

Note that the notation  $\sqcup_{j\in\Lambda}S_j$  means disjoint union. If the sets  $S_j$ 's are pairwise disjoint, we write  $\sqcup_{j\in\Lambda}S_j$  for their union instead.

The statement is useful in the following property, by which we will show that  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Q}^+$ .

12.  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* Define

$$\widehat{n} = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } n \le 0, \ n \in \mathbb{Z}; \\ 1 + 2 + 3 + \dots + n, & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$
(2)

_	-	_	-	

Let p(m,n) = m + n - 2 + m. We're going to show that p gives a bijection from  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  to  $\mathbb{N}$ . If  $m + n = k \in \mathbb{N}$ , we hope to verify that f is bijective from

$$A_k := \{ \langle m, n \rangle : m + n = k \}$$

to

$$C_k := \widehat{(k-2, k-1]} \cap \mathbb{N}$$

Let k be given.

(1) For 
$$\langle m, n \rangle \in A_k = \{ \langle 1, k - 1 \rangle, \langle 2, k - 2 \rangle, \cdots, \langle k - 1, 1 \rangle \},$$
  

$$\widehat{k - 2} = \widehat{m + n - 2} < p(m, n) = \widehat{m + n - 2} + m$$

$$= \widehat{k - 2} + m \le \widehat{k - 2} + (k - 1) = \widehat{k - 1}$$

This means p maps  $A_k$  into  $C_k$ .

(2) Let  $\langle m_1, n_1 \rangle$ ,  $\langle m_2, n_2 \rangle \in A_k$ . Suppose that  $p(\langle m_1, n_1 \rangle) = p(\langle m_2, n_2 \rangle)$ , then

$$\widehat{k-2} + m_1 = \widehat{k-2} + m_2$$

So  $m_1 = m_2$ , and  $n_1 = n_2$ . This indicates, that f is one-to-one from  $A_k$  to  $C_k$ .

(3) Let  $N \in C_k$ . Denote

$$N = \widehat{k - 2} + j$$

Choose  $\langle m, n \rangle = \langle j, k - j \rangle$ . Then p(m, n) = N.

Since  $\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N} = \bigsqcup_{k=2}^{\infty} A_k$ ,  $\mathbb{N} = \bigsqcup_{k=2}^{\infty} \left( \widehat{(k-2, k-2]} \cap \mathbb{N} \right)$ , which satisfies all conditions of previous example. Hence p is bijective.  $\Box$ 

Our next mission is that  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \preceq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Since we already have  $\mathbb{N} \preceq \mathbb{Q}^+$ , we'll show that  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Q}^+$ .

**13.**  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \leq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  because, we may choose  $f : \mathbb{Q}^+ \to \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$  by

$$f(\frac{q}{p}) = \langle q, p \rangle$$
 with  $gcd(p,q) = 1$ ,

i.e.

$$f = \{ \langle \frac{a}{b}, \langle a, b \rangle \rangle : a, b \in \mathbb{N}, \ gcd(a, b) = 1 \}$$

i.e.

$$\frac{n}{m} \mapsto \langle \frac{n}{\gcd(n,m)}, \frac{m}{\gcd(n,m)} \rangle \qquad for \, m, n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

To show that f is injective, given  $\frac{m_1}{n_1}, \frac{m_2}{n_2} \in \mathbb{Q}^+$  such that  $gcd(m_1, n_1) = gcd(m_2, n_2) = 1$  and  $f(\frac{m_1}{n_1}) = f(\frac{m_2}{n_2})$ . Since  $\langle m_1, n_1 \rangle = \langle m_2, n_2 \rangle$ , it follows that  $m_1 = m_2$  and  $n_1 = n_2$ .

### **2.3** Fractions to $\mathbb{Q}^+$ and $\mathbb{Q}$

**14.** If  $B \approx \mathbb{N}$ ,  $A \subset B$ , and  $\mathbb{N} \preceq A$ , then  $A \approx \mathbb{N}$ .

Proof. Denote

$$B = \{b_1, b_2, \cdots\}$$

Then we hope to construct a sequence representing A, i.e.  $A = \{y_1, y_2, \dots\}$ .

Let  $n_1$  be the smallest integer n such that  $b_n \in A$ , and write  $A_1 = A \setminus \{n_1\}$ .

Let  $n_2$  be the smallest integer  $n > n_1$  such that  $b_n \in A_1$ , and write  $A_2 = A_1 \setminus \{n_2\}$ .

Let  $n_3$  be the smallest integer  $n > n_2$  such that  $b_n \in A_2$ , and write  $A_3 = A_2 \setminus \{n_3\}$ .

:

The process can repeat permanently because  $\mathbb{N} \leq A_j$  for all  $j \in \mathbb{N}$  (Why?). Since  $A_j \neq \emptyset$ ,  $n_{j+1}$  can be chosen (from  $A_j$ ). Next, to show

$$A = B_0 := \{b_{n_1}, b_{n_2}, \cdots\}$$

 $B_0 \subset A$  is trivial. Let  $a \in A \subset B$ . Then  $a = b_m$  for some  $m \in \mathbb{N}$ . Because  $1 \leq n_1 < n_2 < \cdots$ , we know  $m \in (n_j, n_{j+1}]$ . It follows that  $a = b_{n_{n+1}}$ . Hence  $A \subset B_0$ . Therefore  $A = B_0$ , and then  $A \approx \mathbb{N}$ .

The following two propositions are prepared for the third proposition.

**15.** If  $A \leq C$ , then  $A \approx B$  for some  $B \subset C$ . The reason is that, if f is one-to-one from A to C, then f is from A onto  $B := f(A) \subset C$ .

**16.** If  $A \leq B_1$  and  $B_1 \approx B_2$ , then  $A \leq B_2$ . We derive this as follow: If f is one-to-one from A to  $B_1$  and g is a bijection from  $B_1$  to  $B_2$ , then  $g \circ f$  is injective from A to  $B_2$ . **17.**  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Q}^+$ .

*Proof.* We know that  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \leq \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $\mathbb{Q}^+ \approx N$  for some  $N \subset \mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{N}$ . The result is immediate from preceding examples.

**18.** We can now show equinumerosity between  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Since we already have a one-to-one function f from  $\mathbb{N}$  onto  $\mathbb{Q}^+$ . Let  $F : \mathbb{Z} \to \mathbb{Q}$ , by

$$F(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } n \in \mathbb{N}; \\ 0, & \text{if } x = 0; \\ -f(-x), & \text{if } x \in -\mathbb{N}. \end{cases}$$
(3)

Then F is bijective.

#### **2.4** $\mathbb{Q}$ and $\mathbb{R}$

We're going to show a more astonishing property, that  $\mathbb{Q}$  contains (strictly) less elements than  $\mathbb{R}$ . Before reaching a precise proof, we need some preparation.

**19.** If  $A \approx B$ ,  $B \approx C$ , then  $A \approx C$ . A simple proof is: Suppose that f, g are bijections between A, B and C. Then  $g \circ f$  is bijective from A to C.

**20.**  $\mathbb{R}^+ \approx \mathbb{R}$  because, the functions  $f(x) := e^x$  and

$$g(x) = \begin{cases} x+1, & \text{if } x \ge 0; \\ \frac{-x}{1-x}, & \text{if } x < 0. \end{cases}$$
(4)

are one-to-one from  $\mathbb{R}$  to  $\mathbb{R}^+$ .

**21.**  $\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{R}^+$ .

*Proof.* It's clear that  $\mathbb{N} \leq \mathbb{R}^+$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{R}^+ = \{f_0, f_1, f_2, \cdots\}$ , written in a sequence. We list the elements below in decimal expression.

$$f_0 = f_{00} \cdot f_{01} f_{02} f_{03} f_{04} \cdots$$
  

$$f_1 = f_{10} \cdot f_{11} f_{12} f_{13} f_{14} \cdots$$
  

$$f_2 = f_{20} \cdot f_{21} f_{22} f_{23} f_{24} \cdots$$
  

$$f_3 = f_{30} \cdot f_{31} f_{32} f_{33} f_{34} \cdots$$
  
:

The main idea is to construct a decimal number which is none of the  $f_j$ 's. Denote  $f_{\infty} = f_{\infty 0} \cdot f_{\infty 1} f_{\infty 2} f_{\infty 3} \cdots$ , where

$$f_{\infty j} = \begin{cases} 7, & \text{if } f_{jj} = 3; \\ 3, & \text{Otherwise.} \end{cases}$$
(5)

Then  $f_{\infty} \in \mathbb{R}^+$ . It is some  $f_k$ , but  $f_{\infty k} \neq f_{kk}$ , a contradiction. Hence  $\mathbb{N} \not\approx \mathbb{R}^+$ . This means  $\mathbb{N} \prec \mathbb{R}^+$ .

**22.** We can now show that  $\mathbb{Q} \prec \mathbb{R}$ . It's well-known that  $\mathbb{Q} \preceq \mathbb{R}$ . Assume that  $\mathbb{Q} \approx \mathbb{R}$ . Since  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{Q}$  and  $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R}^+$ , it follows that  $\mathbb{N} \approx \mathbb{R}^+$ , a contradiction.

#### 2.5 $\mathbb{R}$ to $\mathbb{C}$

The last thing we hope to verify is that  $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{C}$ . Similarly as before, for convenience, we divid it into several parts.

**23.**  $(0,1) \approx (0,1) \times (0,1)$ .

To give a precise proof, we need the help of **Schröder-Bernstein Theorem**, i.e. if  $A \leq B$  and  $B \leq A$  then  $A \approx B$ .

*Proof.* The map  $x \mapsto (x, \frac{1}{2})$  is an injection. Define  $f: (0,1) \times (0,1) \to (0,1)$  by

$$(a,b) := (0.a_1a_2a_3\cdots, 0.b_1b_2b_3\cdots) \mapsto 0.a_1b_1a_2b_2a_3b_3\cdots$$

where none of a, b has consecutive 9's, i.e. neither a nor b is in the form  $0.d_1d_2\cdots d_j9999\cdots$ . Then if  $a = 0.a_1a_2\cdots$ ,  $b = 0.b_1b_2\cdots$ ,  $c = 0.c_1c_2\cdots$  and  $d = 0.d_1d_2\cdots$  are such that f(a, b) = f(c, d), we write

$$0.a_1b_1a_2b_2\cdots = 0.c_1d_1c_2d_2\cdots$$

According to uniqueness of decimal expression, it follows that  $a_j = c_j$  and  $b_j = d_j$ . Hence f is an injection. The property is then proved by Schröder-Bernstein Theorem.

Note that the function f is not onto. In fact, we can find no pair mapping to the number  $0.010191919191 \cdots$  in (0, 1), hence we need to show *double injectivity*.

The following is a slight modification to our final goal.

**24.** For  $(a,b) \subset \mathbb{R}$ ,  $(a,b) \approx (0,1)$ . The map can be chosen as f(x) = (b-a)x + a.

**25.**  $(0,1) \approx \mathbb{R}$ . A bijection between them can be chosen as

$$f(x) = \frac{x}{1+|x|}$$

from  $\mathbb{R}$  onto (-1,1) or

$$g(x) = \tan x$$

from  $\left(-\frac{\pi}{2}, \frac{\pi}{2}\right)$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ .

**26.**  $(0,1) \times (0,1) \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $f:(0,1) \to \mathbb{R}$  be bijective. Then

$$F(a,b) = (f(a), f(b)) \quad on(0,1) \times (0,1)$$

is a bijection that we desire.

**27.** Since  $\mathbb{R} \approx (0,1)$ ,  $(0,1) \approx (0,1) \times (0,1)$ ,  $(0,1) \times (0,1) \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ , we conclude that  $\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R}$ .

**28.**  $\mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{C}$ , because we have the function k(x, y) = x + iy. Therefore we obtain the final equinumerosity

$$\mathbb{R} \approx \mathbb{C}.$$

### **3** Countable and Uncountable Infinities

Although we've shown that the five basic sets  $\mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}$  have only 2 levels about their amounts of elements, yet we even have no idea about what an infinite set really is. Our intuition might tell us that a finite set must contain some *n* elements. That means, it must be equinumerous to some *standard set* which we consider to have *n* elements. In the following discussion, this standard set will naturally be chosen as  $I_n := \{1, 2, 3, \dots, n\}$ .

Except for the notion of an infinite set, we have another notion called countable sets. The difference from countability depends on whether the given set can be listed in a (finite of infinite) sequence.

**29.** We now make a convention that  $\mathbb{W}$  means the whole number set. i.e.  $\mathbb{W} = \mathbb{N} \cup \{0\}$ . Define  $I_n := \{1, 2, \dots, n\} = \{k \in \mathbb{N} : 1 \leq k \leq n\}$ , and  $I_0 = \emptyset$ , for convenience of later consideration.

**30.** A set S is called finite if  $S \approx I_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{W}$ . If S is not finite, then S is called infinite. Note that the "new" notion leads to no contradiction about what are discussed before because we did not mention the word "infinite" previously.

**31.** A set K is called countable if all elements of K are in a (finite or infinite) sequence, i.e. if  $K \approx I_n$  for some  $n \in \mathbb{W}$  or  $K \approx \mathbb{N}$ . In the later case, K is also called denumerable. So K is countable if K is either finite or denumerable. If K is not countable, we say K is uncountable.

There are many "trivial" statements concerning infinite sets, but not all of them is easy to prove.

**32.** If S is an infinite set, and  $T \subset S$ , then either T or  $S \setminus T$  is infinite.

*Proof.* Assume that S and  $S \setminus T$  are both finite. Let  $f : I_n \to S$  and  $g : I_m \to S \setminus T$  be bijections. Then define  $\varphi : I_{m+n} \to S$  as follow:

$$\varphi(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x = 1, 2, 3 \cdots, n; \\ g(x-n), & \text{if } x = n+1, n+2, \cdots, n+m. \end{cases}$$
(6)

Then  $\varphi$  is one-to-one from  $I_{n+m}$  onto S, a contradiction to the fact that S is infinite.

**33.** The union of a finite set and a denumerable set is denumerable because, if the finite set is expressed as  $\{a_1, a_2, \dots, a_n\}$  while the other  $\{b_1, b_2, \dots\}$ , then the union  $\{a_1, \dots, a_n, b_1, b_2, \dots\}$  is equinumerous to a subset (why not exactly ?) of  $\{\langle a, 1 \rangle, \dots, \langle a, n \rangle, \langle b, 1 \rangle, \langle b, 2 \rangle, \dots\}$ . Moreover,  $\mathbb{N} \leq$  the union, we conclude that  $\mathbb{N}$  is equinumerous to the union.

**34.** The disjoint union of two denumerable sets are denumerable. Therefore, the disjoint union of n denumerable sets are also denumerable.

*Proof.* It easy to check that  $\mathbb{N}$  is equinumerous to  $2\mathbb{N}$  and  $2\mathbb{N}+1$  (We define  $2\mathbb{N} = \{2, 4, 6, 8, \cdots\}$  and  $2\mathbb{N}+1 = \{1, 3, 5, \cdots\}$ .). Let A, B be two disjoint sets. Choose  $f : 2\mathbb{N} \to A, g : 2\mathbb{N}+1 \to B$  as bijections. Define  $G : \mathbb{N} \to A \sqcup B$  by

$$G(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & \text{if } x \text{ is even;} \\ g(x), & \text{if } x \text{ is odd.} \end{cases}$$
(7)

Then G shows that  $A \sqcup B$  is denumerable.

**35.** How about the union of n denumerable sets? How about a denumerable union of denumerable sets?

For the second assertion, we need some observation.

**36.** The denumerable union of pairwise disjoint denumerable sets are denumerable.

*Proof.* Denote these sets by  $S_1, S_2, \cdots$ , where

$$S_{1} = \{s_{11}, s_{12}, s_{13}, \cdots \}$$
$$S_{2} = \{s_{21}, s_{22}, s_{23}, \cdots \}$$
$$S_{3} = \{s_{31}, s_{32}, s_{33}, \cdots \}$$
$$\vdots$$

are their elements. Then the mapping  $\langle i, j \rangle \leftrightarrow s_{ij}$  is bijective. Hence  $\mathbb{N} \approx \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} S_n$ .

**37.** A set S is countable if and only if  $S \leq \mathbb{N}$ .

*Proof.* If S is denumerable, then  $S \approx \mathbb{N}$  and hence  $S \preceq \mathbb{N}$ . If S if finite, then  $S \preceq \mathbb{N}$  because  $I_n \preceq \mathbb{N}$ . Conversely, Let f be one-to-one from S into  $\mathbb{N}$ . Let T = Im(f). Then

- (a) If T contains no maximum, then  $\mathbb{N} \leq T$  (why?). Since  $T \subset \mathbb{N}$ , we obtain that  $\mathbb{N} \approx T$ . Since f is onto T, it follows that  $S \approx T$ .
- (b) If T contains a maximum, say  $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $T \subset I_{n_0}$ . Hence T is equinumerous to some  $I_m$  (why? This might be proved by induction.). Similarly, the assertion is proved by  $S \approx T \approx I_m$ .

**38.** The denumerable union of pairwise disjoint countable sets are countable.