

Problem 6: Let  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  be a convergent sequence with limit  $S$ . Then for any  $\epsilon > 0$ , there exists an index  $N_{\epsilon}$ , such that  $S - \epsilon < s_n < S + \epsilon$  for all  $n \geq N_{\epsilon}$ . Using  $(s_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  to define a new sequence  $(\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  by letting  $\sigma_n = \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_n}{n}$ .

(1) Prove that for any  $\epsilon > 0$  there exists an index  $N_{\epsilon}$ , such that for all  $n > N_{\epsilon}$ , the following inequality holds:

$$\frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon}}}{n} + \frac{(n - N_{\epsilon})(S - \epsilon)}{n} < \sigma_n < \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon}}}{n} + \frac{(n - N_{\epsilon})(S + \epsilon)}{n}.$$

(2) Prove that the sequence  $(\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is also convergent, and its limit is  $S$ .

(3) Define  $\sigma_1 = 1, \sigma_n = 1 + (1 - \frac{1}{n})\frac{1}{2} + (1 - \frac{2}{n})\frac{1}{2^2} + \dots + (1 - \frac{n-1}{n})\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ , for  $n \geq 2$ . Prove that  $(\sigma_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$  is convergent, and find its limit.

[Solution] (1) Let  $\epsilon > 0$ . By definition there is an  $N_{\epsilon}$  such that for all  $n > N_{\epsilon}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} s_1 &= s_1 = s_1 \\ &\vdots \\ s_{N_{\epsilon}} &= s_{N_{\epsilon}} = s_{N_{\epsilon}} \\ S - \epsilon &< s_{N_{\epsilon}+1} < S + \epsilon \\ &\vdots \\ S - \epsilon &< s_n < S + \epsilon \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

Summing up, we get

$$\frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon}}}{n} + \frac{(n - N_{\epsilon})(S - \epsilon)}{n} < \sigma_n < \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon}}}{n} + \frac{(n - N_{\epsilon})(S + \epsilon)}{n}.$$

(2)

*Proof.* [1] Let  $0 < \epsilon' < 1$ . Then by (1), we find an  $N_{\epsilon'}$  such that  $\forall n \geq N_{\epsilon'}$ ,

$$\frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon'}}}{n} + \frac{(n - N_{\epsilon'})(S - \epsilon')}{n} < \sigma_n < \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon'}}}{n} + \frac{(n - N_{\epsilon'})(S + \epsilon')}{n}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon'}}}{n} = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{n - N_{\epsilon'}}{n} = 1$ , there is an  $N'_{\epsilon'} > N_{\epsilon'}$  such that for all  $n \geq N'_{\epsilon'}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned} -\epsilon' &< \frac{s_1 + \dots + s_{N_{\epsilon'}}}{n} < \epsilon' \\ 1 - \epsilon' &< \frac{n - N_{\epsilon'}}{n} < 1 + \epsilon' \end{aligned}$$

At this time,

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n &< \epsilon' + (1 \pm \epsilon')(S + \epsilon') \\
&= \epsilon' + S + (1 \pm S)\epsilon' \pm \epsilon'^2 \\
&\leq \epsilon' + S + |1 \pm S|\epsilon' + \epsilon' \\
&\leq \epsilon' + S + (1 + |S|)\epsilon' + \epsilon' \\
&= S + (3 + |S|)\epsilon'
\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
\sigma_n &> -\epsilon' + (1 \mp \epsilon')(S - \epsilon') \\
&= -\epsilon' + S - (1 \pm S)\epsilon' \pm \epsilon'^2 \\
&\geq -\epsilon' + S - |1 \pm S|\epsilon' - \epsilon' \\
&\geq -\epsilon' + S - (1 + |S|)\epsilon' - \epsilon' \\
&= S - (3 + |S|)\epsilon'
\end{aligned}$$

where the choice of  $\pm$  and  $\mp$  depends on the sign of  $S + \epsilon'$  and  $S - \epsilon'$ . Hence

$$|\sigma_n - S| < (3 + |S|)\epsilon'$$

[2] Next, we prove  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n = S$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Choose  $\epsilon' = \min\{\frac{\varepsilon}{3+|S|}, \frac{1}{2}\}$ . Then there is an  $N_{\epsilon'}$  and an  $N'_{\epsilon'} > N_{\epsilon'}$ , such that for any  $n \geq N'_{\epsilon'}$ ,

$$\begin{aligned}
|\sigma_n - S| &< (3 + |S|)\epsilon' \\
&\leq (3 + |S|)\frac{\varepsilon}{3 + |S|} = \varepsilon
\end{aligned}$$

□

[Note] (a) The limit  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sigma_n$  is call *Cesaro Sum*. (b) If we have the technique of upper and lower limits, the proof can be simplified.

(3) Let  $\tau_n = 1 + \frac{1}{2} + \dots + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}$ ,  $\varsigma'_n = \frac{1}{n}\{0 \cdot \frac{1}{2^0} + 1 \cdot \frac{1}{2^1} + \dots + (n-1)\frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\}$ ,  $s_n = \frac{n-1}{2^{n-1}}$ . Then

$$\sigma_n = \tau_n - \varsigma'_n$$

Since  $s_n \rightarrow 0$  (check !), we get  $\varsigma'_n \rightarrow 0$ . Since we also have  $\tau_n \rightarrow 2$ , then  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 2$  as well.